| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|---|
| | 10/807,163 | NISHIMI ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Yevgeny Valenrod | 1621 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | Allowed |
| (1) <u>Yevgeny Valenrod</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Brett Sylvester</u> . | (4) | · |
| Date of Interview: 10 October 2007 | Time: <u>9:45am</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican | Applicant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: potential 112 2 nd paragraph | | |
| Claims discussed: 4 and 11 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Potential rejection under 35 USC 112 2 nd paragraph was | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief such as the provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. | on. The examiner will provide a varate record of the substance of | written summary of the substance the interview. |
| | | |
| | , | • |
| | | |
| | | |
| , | | |
| Ve II Honne Eyler | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap | plicant/Applicant's Representativ | e Signature – if appropriate) |